



# Major developments in the evaluation of active substances

**M. Tiramani**  
Head of the Pesticide Peer Review  
Unit

10 March 2021  
Sustainable solutions to protect crops  
Conference

# What happened during the last year

- Planning of experts' meetings
- Prioritise the peer review of the substances
- Prenotification message to APPL before sending official letter of request for additional information

## What happened during the last year

- Updated Conclusion template following data gap categorization exercise –Started June 2020 (EFSA was requested to consider to provide a reasoning/justification for concluding that the data gaps listed here are not leading to concerns).
- New Article 12 of the amended 844/2012 introducing a short timeframe (2 weeks) for APPL to submit comments and additional information at the end of the process (after the RMS/MS consultation).

# What happened during the last year

- Ongoing peer review
  - About 90 a.s in the loop
  - Slightly less than a half on clock stop
- Mandates
  - EC Mandates under Art. 31, Art 21, Article 69, Article 56: 11
  - Extra peer review: 17
- PAD requests: 16

# What is going to happen

- Admin guidance
- Microorganisms and basic substances
- Revision mandate of the PSN
- Medium-long term plan for GD revision

## STAY CONNECTED!

**Thank you!**



### **Subscribe to**

[www.efsa.europa.eu/en/news/newsletters](http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/news/newsletters)

[www.efsa.europa.eu/en/rss](http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/rss)



### **Engage with careers**

[www.efsa.europa.eu/en/engage/careers](http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/engage/careers)



### **Follow us on Twitter**

[@efsa\\_eu](https://twitter.com/efsa_eu)

[@plants\\_efsa](https://twitter.com/plants_efsa)

[@methods\\_efsa](https://twitter.com/methods_efsa)