



Challenges around co-formulants

Maristella Rubbiani

DG SANTE, Unit E4

**CropLife
Europe
Annual
Conference**

📅 07 & 08 March 2023



Content

- Raising awareness about co-formulants
- The regulatory steps
- Recent works at Commission and at EFSA level
- The co-formulants' assessment: different actors in the process
- Suggestions for improving the assessment
- Planning the future

Increased scrutiny on co-formulants and assessment of PPPs

- Recently, NGOs, EP and others have been highly critical on the assessment of PPPs, claiming in particular that long-term effects are not considered.
- A petition submitted by an NGO to the Parliament's PETI Committee, requested, among others:

“to amend Regulation (EC) No 284/2013 in order to require systematic long-term toxicity testing for PPPs or their co-formulants and check in detail the registration dossier for each substance as soon as possible and to suspend marketing approvals for which toxicological data on co-formulants in representative formulations would have proved insufficient to decide on the absence of adverse effects”.

The rules

Article 4 of Regulation 1107/2009

General decision-making criteria – Annex II

2.1. Article 4 shall only be considered as complied with, where, on the basis of the dossier submitted, authorisation in at least one Member State is expected to be possible for at least one plant protection product containing that active substance for at least one of the representative uses.

EU assessment of PPP

- Evaluation of co-formulants as part of the AS assessment at EU level (for the product(s) for representative use)
 - The Blaise judgement (C-616/17) states that the assessment of a PPP must be undertaken as part of the EU assessment.
 - Therefore, all aspects of the product needs to be examined and safety demonstrated – active substance **and co-formulants**
- Evaluation of co-formulants as part of each PPP assessment (MSs – PPP authorisation process)

EU assessment of PPP – recent work

- list of unacceptable co-formulants (Annex III)
- process for identifying other co-formulants (implementing regulation)
- EFSA technical report (based on PPP products submitted for representative uses)

<https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/supporting/pub/en-7547>

→ a step forward but not fully addressing the issue

Transparency on the assessment of co-formulants needs to be improved in the following areas:

- gathering all the information needed for evaluating all the components of a PPP;
- evaluating the hazard and the exposure of the components/whole product;
- providing additional data in case of lack of information;

When should this be applied:

- When applicants compile their dossiers
- When the RMS assesses the dossier of the representative product for representative uses
- During the peer-review process (EFSA)
- At Member States level during the authorisation of PPPs

Reminders to Member States:

- Document the requests of information (both for the product for representative use and for product at authorization stage)
- Discuss (at zonal level?) the possibility of agree on acceptable co-formulants
- Make an overview/database/update of national list of approved co-formulants (which are on the national market or have been withdrawn)
- Notify any unacceptable co-formulants according the requirements of the Implementing Regulation

Reminders to Applicants:

- Consider the importance of the products for representative use in the AS dossier
- Submit all the co-formulants available information with updated MSDS
- Make use of pre-submission meetings to discuss the products for representative use components
- Collect information along the supply chain (e.g. for mixture-in –mixture co-formulants), check the quality of the information
- Consider, also in terms of time, the possibility to receive request from Member States to complete the information submitted at authorization stage
- Consider the possibility of replacement of unacceptable co-formulants and plan the suitable test to be performed

Reminders to EFSA:

- Increase transparency as regards assessment of product(s) for representative use(s) in the EFSA Conclusions (EFSA and MSs during peer review);
- Request additional info on co-formulants if needed (EFSA and MSs during peer review);
- To complement the EFSA technical report on co-formulants (e.g. include additional info such as concentration ranges, classification-harmonised/not harmonised)

Commission is planning to:

- To prepare a series of workshops, in collaboration with EFSA and ECHA:
 - 1st WS (May 2023) – will set the scene with stakeholders and mapping the issues to be discussed and resolved (planned back-to-back of May PAFF)
 - 2nd WS (June 2023): a technical workshop to discuss with risk assessors (planned back-to-back of June PAI WG) to find possible solutions
 - 3rd WS (Nov 2023): to inform Member States at zonal assessment
- Work with EFSA to ensure more transparency in EFSA Conclusions as regards consideration of the co-formulants in the product(s) for representative use(s) in active substances approval/renewal.

Specific groups of coformulants also raising attention by Stakeholders&Member States

- PFAS in co-formulant or in PPPs as contaminants;
- Co-formulants which are formaldehyde releasers;
- Polymers/monomers as co-formulants;
- Co-formulants which are mixture contained in a mixture;
- Co-formulants containing impurities

Keep in touch



ec.europa.eu/



europa.eu/



[@EU_Commission](https://twitter.com/EU_Commission)



[@EuropeanCommission](https://www.facebook.com/EuropeanCommission)



[European Commission](https://www.linkedin.com/company/european-commission/)



[europeancommission](https://www.instagram.com/europeancommission)



[@EuropeanCommission](https://medium.com/@EuropeanCommission)



[EUTube](https://www.youtube.com/EUTube)



[EU Spotify](https://www.spotify.com/eu/)

Thank you



© European Union 2020

Unless otherwise noted the reuse of this presentation is authorised under the [CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. For any use or reproduction of elements that are not owned by the EU, permission may need to be sought directly from the respective right holders.

Slide xx: [element concerned](#), source: e.g. [Fotolia.com](#); Slide xx: [element concerned](#), source: e.g. [iStock.com](#)

